#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff	) Case No. 16-CR-20810-04
	)
v.	) Honorable George Caram Steeh
	)
TAKATA CORPORATION,	)
Defendant.	_ )

# SPECIAL MASTER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF EIGHTEENTH DISTRIBUTION OF INDIVIDUAL RESTITUTION FUND

Eric D. Green, as Special Master of the Takata Restitution Funds, respectfully submits this request (the "Request") for this Court's approval of the eighteenth distribution from the Individual Restitution Fund (defined below) and respectfully represents as follows:

#### **BACKGROUND**

### I. Creation Of The Takata Restitution <u>Funds And Appointment Of The Special Master.</u>

On February 27, 2017, the United States Department of Justice and Takata Corporation ("<u>Takata</u>") filed the *Rule 11 Plea Agreement* [Docket No. 23] (the "<u>Plea Agreement</u>") to resolve criminal charges brought by the government against Takata in connection with Takata's design, manufacturing, testing, sale and distribution of automobile airbag inflators. The Plea Agreement, which was accepted by this Court,

provides, *inter alia*, for the appointment of a Special Master to oversee the distribution of \$975 million in restitution (the "Restitution Funds") that Takata agreed to pay to designated claimants, including auto manufacturers (the "OEMs") and individuals with personal injuries. <sup>1</sup> This proposed eighteenth distribution addresses only the restitution to individuals under the Individual Restitution Fund (defined below).

Contemporaneously with the acceptance of the Plea Agreement, the Court entered the *Restitution Order* [Docket No. 24] (the "Restitution Order") requiring Takata to, among other things, pay \$125 million in restitution to individuals who suffered (or will suffer) personal injury caused by the malfunction of a Takata airbag inflator, and who have not already resolved their claims against Takata (the "Individual Restitution Fund" or "IRF").

Pursuant to the Plea Agreement, on July 31, 2017, the Court entered an order appointing Eric D. Green as Special Master of the Takata Restitution Funds (the "Appointment Order") [Docket No. 40] to administer the Individual Restitution Fund (as well as the OEM Restitution Fund). Pursuant to paragraph 2 of the

The Restitution Order requires, *inter alia*, Takata to pay \$850 million in restitution to the OEMs in connection with their purchase of Takata airbags inflators (the "OEM Restitution Fund"). The Special Master previously submitted the proposed allocation of the OEM Restitution Fund and requested Court approval of the proposed notice program [Docket No. 49]. The Court entered the order approving the proposed notice program to distribute notice regarding the OEM Restitution Fund on November 28, 2017 [Docket No. 50], and the distribution of the \$850 million in restitution to the OEMs has been completed in accordance with the Court's orders [Docket Nos. 81, 90, 100, 105].

Appointment Order, the Special Master's responsibilities include, *inter alia*, establishing procedures, subject to Court approval, to determine eligible claimants and the amount of loss eligible for compensation, developing a formula or formulas, subject to Court approval, for distributing funds to eligible claimants, making determinations regarding allowed claims, and making a recommendation to the Court regarding allocation of funds from the Individual Restitution Fund.

#### A. The Revised IRF Methodology.

On March 21, 2018, the Court entered an order approving the Special Master's proposed approach to distributing the funds in the IRF (the "Revised IRF Methodology").<sup>2</sup> The Revised IRF Methodology sets forth the requirements for qualifying as an Eligible Claimant<sup>3</sup> and divides eligible claims into two categories:

- (i) "Current Claims" filed with the Special Master by August 31, 2018; and
- (ii) "Future Claims" <sup>4</sup> filed after August 31, 2018. Under the Revised IRF

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Order Granting Special Master's Request for Approval of the Revised Individual Restitution Fund Methodology [Docket No. 77] and Overruling Defendant's Objection [Docket No. 78] (the "IRF Methodology Order").

<sup>&</sup>quot;Eligible Claimant" means an individual (1) who has suffered personal injury or death caused by the rupture or aggressive deployment of a Takata phase-stabilized ammonium nitrate (PSAN) airbag inflator (the "PSAN Airbag Inflator Malfunction"); (2) who was at the time the PSAN Airbag Inflator Malfunction occurred (a) in a vehicle located or registered in the United States, its territories or its possessions, or (b) a U.S. citizen or permanent resident (wherever the PSAN Airbag Inflator Malfunction occurred); and (3) who has not already resolved his or her claim against Takata Corporation and/or any of its affiliates.

The Special Master now refers to "Future Claims" as simply "Claims" given that all claims that are processed pursuant to this Request and thereafter were filed after August 31, 2018.

Methodology, a portion of the IRF is allocated to Current Claims and the balance is reserved for Future Claims based on estimations of Current and Future Claims conducted by NERA.

Given that the estimated value of all anticipated Current and Future Claims far exceeds the \$125 million in the Individual Restitution Fund, the Special Master decided to utilize a relative valuation approach to determine awards to Eligible Claimants. Under this approach, points are assigned to claims based on injury categories in an injury valuation matrix and certain other factors, and then the points assigned to each claim are converted to a monetary award based on the number and value of allowed claims and the funds available. Future Claims are valued and paid under the same procedures as Current Claims. If there are fewer Future Claims than estimated, unused funds will be distributed to all eligible claimants on a proportional basis.

On February 4, 2021, the Special Master moved the Court to modify the Revised IRF Methodology and the points schedule incorporated therein [Docket No. 138] (the "Points Modification Motion") to more equitably compensate personal injury victims. On February 26, 2021, the Court entered an order approving the Points Modification Motion [Docket No. 140].

#### B. The Claim Forms and Notice Program.

On May 29, 2018, the Special Master obtained Court approval of the following in connection with the IRF: (i) the Notice Program; (ii) the Personal Injury Claim Form; (iii) the Wrongful Death Claim Form; (iv) the lists of required supporting documentation; (v) the Notice of Claim Form, which enables claimants to timely file but defer consideration of their claim; and (vi) the HIPAA Release.<sup>5</sup>

The next day, May 30, 2018, the Special Master launched the targeted Notice Program for the IRF, including direct notification through mail and email, indirect notice through international publication and a press release, and various types of online media. With respect to the direct notification, the Claims Administrator mailed a claim package consisting of a direct notice, claim forms, supporting documentation checklists, and a notice of claim. This targeted notice supplemented the notice program in the U.S. Bankruptcy Proceedings, which was designed to reach approximately 83 million past and present registered owners of a vehicle containing a Takata PSAN Inflator. Subsequently, the Special Master has received, evaluated, processed, and paid claims pursuant to the IRF Methodology upon receiving Court approval in response to periodic distribution requests.

Order Granting Special Master's Request for Approval of Individual Restitution Fund Claim Forms, Notice Program, and Extension of Current Claims Filing Deadline, dated May 29, 2018 [Docket No. 94].

#### C. <u>Seventeenth Distribution Request.</u>

On October 5, 2022, the Special Master filed the Special Master's Request for Approval of Seventeenth Distribution of Individual Restitution Fund [Docket No. 172] (the "Seventeenth IRF Distribution Request"). In the Seventeenth IRF Distribution Request, the Special Master indicated that he evaluated each Claim, determined whether such claims were eligible for compensation from the IRF, and, if eligible, assigned a point value to each claim. In total, after all internal reviews and appeals, 3,088 points were awarded to those Claimants. On November 14, 2022, the Court entered its Order Granting Special Master's Request for Approval of Seventeenth Distribution of Individual Restitution Fund (the "Seventeenth Request Order") [Docket No. 174].

### D. The Evaluation of Claims Subject to the Eighteenth Distribution Request.

Since entry of the Seventeenth Request Order, the Special Master has administered, reviewed, analyzed, and evaluated nineteen (19) additional Claims. The purpose of this Request is to seek the Court's approval of the Special Master's determinations for these Claims.

Epiq, under the Special Master's supervision, reviewed each of the nineteen (19) Claims: (i) for facial deficiencies, such as a missing signature, lack of basic documentation, or failure to supply required information; and (ii) for more substantive deficiencies, such as failure to supply evidence of a rupture or aggressive

deployment. If deficiencies were identified by Epiq, then deficiency notices were sent out to those claimants, or their attorneys, identifying the deficiencies and requesting supplementation within the cure period set forth in the Revised IRF Methodology.

Once a Claim was deemed complete, it was evaluated by staff at Epiq, reviewed by senior management at Epiq according to criteria developed and specified by the Special Master, and then sent to the Special Master for final review and determination.

Ultimately, of these nineteen (19) Claims, the Special Master and his team determined that seven (7) of the Claims are eligible for compensation and twelve (12) of the Claims are ineligible for compensation.

With respect to the twelve (12) ineligible Claims: (i) five (5) of the Claims did not have an eligible Takata airbag inflator installed in the subject vehicle; (ii) two (2) of the Claims failed to provide sufficient evidence of aggressive deployment; (iii) three (3) of the Claims failed to provide sufficient evidence of rupture; and (iv) two (2) of the Claims failed to establish that the sustained injuries were caused by a malfunctioning Takata airbag inflator.

For each of the seven (7) eligible Claims, the Special Master, with the assistance of his advisors, finalized the point awards following both an initial

evaluation and additional review sessions to ensure that each eligible Claim was treated fairly and equitably.

#### i. Notice of Award or Denial.

Next, the Special Master sent either award or denial letters to the nineteen (19) Claimants, as applicable, notifying them of the Special Master's determination and, if eligible, their proposed point award. Award letters included the number of points that each Claimant had been awarded, as well as the dollar value of a point and the dollar value of their Claim. The denial letters that were sent to ineligible Claimants notified the Claimants of the basis of the Special Master's determination.

#### ii. Appeal Process.

Upon receipt of the award or denial letter, Claimants were provided the opportunity to appeal the Special Master's determination through the internal appeals process set forth in the Revised IRF Methodology. Claimants could initiate an appeal by filing a Notice of Appeal with the Special Master within thirty (30) days of receipt of the determination letter (the "Appeal Deadline"). Prior to the expiration of the Appeal Deadline, the Special Master received: (i) six (6) Notices of Appeal regarding a determination of ineligibility, and (ii) one (1) Notice of Appeal regarding valuation.

As directed in the Revised IRF Methodology, randomly assigned Review Officers then re-examined the claim for which a Notice of Appeal was filed and

made a recommendation to the Special Master with respect to that claim. The Review Officers affirmed the Special Master's determination for each of the six (6) ineligibility appeals.

For the one (1) valuation appeal, the Review Officer recommended additional review of the Special Master's award and recommended that additional points be awarded to the claimant. Specifically, the Review Officer recommended additional points be awarded because of the age of the claimant, who was 17 years old at the time of the rupture incident. The Review Officer noted that point awards similar to what the Special Master's awarded this claimant have been given to much older claimants with comparable injuries. Thus, the Review Officer reasoned that the claimant's younger age warranted an increase in the claimant's point award. The Special Master respectfully disagrees with the Review Officer's recommendation. The Points Schedule takes into account age for certain injury categories that are classified as severe. The claimant's injury type does not fall into a category in the Points Schedule that provides for an age adjustment. Further, even if the claimant's injury did fall into an injury category eligible for age-based adjustments, the claim would still not be eligible for an age-based adjustment because the injury was not classified as severe. Accordingly, the Special Master recommends that the Court approve the point award as initially issued. The Special Master's recommendation with respect to each appeal is contained in **Exhibit C**.

#### II. <u>Eighteenth Distribution Request</u>.

#### A. <u>Claims Determinations.</u>

In accordance with the Revised IRF Methodology, the Special Master has evaluated each Claim, determined whether it is eligible for compensation from the IRF, and, if eligible, assigned a point value. In total, after all internal reviews and appeals, 10,465 points were awarded for the seven (7) eligible Claims. In accordance with the proposed Eighteenth Request Order, the value of a point is currently set at \$182 for two (2) Claims filed in 2021 and \$191 for five (5) eligible Claims filed in 2022. Accordingly, the Special Master recommends that \$1,993,865.00 be distributed to the Claimants included in this proposed distribution.

Attached hereto as <u>Exhibit A</u> is a chart of the seven (7) Claims determined to be eligible for compensation, the points awarded to each Claim, and the corresponding monetary value of each point award, based on the proposed dollar value of a point. Attached hereto as <u>Exhibit B</u> is a chart reflecting the twelve (12) Claims determined to be ineligible for compensation, organized by basis for denial. Attached hereto as <u>Exhibit C</u> is a chart reflecting the claims that were subject to internal appeal and the corresponding dispositions. The names of the claimants in each exhibit are removed to protect each Claimant's personal information.

The Special Master recommends that the Court approve the Claimants listed on **Exhibit A** as Eligible Claimants and the distribution of the monetary awards

listed on **Exhibit A** to these Claimants. The Special Master further recommends that the Court approve the denial of the Claims listed on **Exhibit B**.

#### B. Releases.

The Court previously approved conditioning payment from the IRF on the execution and submission of a release to the Special Master. *See* IRF Methodology Order. In addition, the Court ordered that attorney's fees for Claims may not exceed twenty-five percent 25% of an award, except for good cause shown as to why the permissible attorney's fees portion of an award should be upwardly adjusted. *See id.*, at Section VII(I). The Special Master recommends requiring that, as a condition for payment from the IRF to any individual represented by counsel, counsel must execute a rider to the release acknowledging and agreeing to abide by the restriction on attorney's fees set forth in the IRF Methodology Order.

#### C. <u>Notice And Objections</u>.

Consistent with the procedures set forth in the *Minutes of July 25, 2019*Conference with Special Master [Docket No. 110] (attached hereto as **Exhibit D**), the Special Master will notify Claimants: (i) of their point award and the monetary value of the award (if any); (ii) of the filing of this Request; and (iii) that they may object to the Request by submitting a written response to the Special Master on or before December 30, 2022 (the "Objection Deadline"). Shortly following the Objection Deadline, the Special Master will confer with the Court and file with the

Court in the miscellaneous case docket a supplemental filing providing further information with (i) brief background materials as to the claims for which Notices of Appeal were filed, the recommendations of the independent third-party Review Officers with respect to those appeals, and the Special Master's recommendations as to same; and (ii) any objections filed on or before the Objection Deadline as permitted in the Request and the Special Master's recommendation with respect to any such objections. Following that submission and any further meeting or request of the Court, the Special Master will request that the Court enter an order approving this Request.

**CONCLUSION** 

WHEREFORE, the Special Master requests that the Court enter an order

substantially in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit E** approving: (a) the distribution

to Claimants as set forth on Exhibit A hereto; (b) the determination that the claims

of the Claimants set forth on Exhibit B are ineligible for compensation from the

Individual Restitution Fund; and (c) conditioning payment from the IRF to

individuals represented by counsel on execution of a rider by counsel acknowledging

and agreeing to abide by the restriction on attorney's fees set forth in the IRF

Methodology Order.

Dated: December 6, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

Eric D. Green, Special Master

13

#### EXHIBIT A

	Claim No.	<b>Points Awarded</b>	Point Value	<b>Monetary Award</b>
1	10001153	1,600	\$191.00	\$305,600.00
2	10001483	215	\$191.00	\$41,065.00
3	10001493	100	\$191.00	\$19,100.00
4	10001497	7,000	\$191.00	\$1,337,000.00
5	291	1,000	\$191.00	\$191,000.00
6	10001178	400	\$182.00	\$72,800.00
7	10001289	150	\$182.00	\$27,300.00
	<b>Total Points</b>	10,465	Total Award	\$1,993,865.00

#### EXHIBIT B

	Claim No.	Ineligibility Reason	
1	10001176	Ineligible Takata PSAN Inflator	
2	10001489	Ineligible Takata PSAN Inflator	
3	10001268	Ineligible Takata PSAN Inflator	
4	10001487	Ineligible Takata PSAN Inflator	
5	10001512	Ineligible Takata PSAN Inflator	
6	10001462	Insufficient Proof of Aggressive Deployment	
7	10001461	Insufficient Proof of Aggressive Deployment	
8	10001214	Insufficient Proof of Rupture	
9	10001222	Insufficient Proof of Rupture	
10	10001208	Insufficient Proof of Rupture	
11	10001105	Non-Takata PSAN Inflator Airbag Caused Injury	
12	10001167	Non-Takata PSAN Inflator Airbag Caused Injury	

## IRF Pending Claims Eligibility Notice of Appeal – Ineligible Takata PSAN Inflator

Based on the available information presented to the Special Master, the subject vehicles did not have PSAN inflators installed in the airbags claimed to have caused injury.

No.	Claim ID	Reviewer	Recommendation
1	10001176	Rosen	Affirm
2	10001489	Gertner	Affirm

#### **IRF Pending Claims**

#### Eligibility Notice of Appeal – Non-Takata PSAN Inflator Airbag Caused Injury

Based on the available information presented to the Special Master, while an eligible PSAN inflator may have been installed in the vehicle, the airbag claimed to have caused injury did not have a PSAN inflator installed.

No.	Claim ID	Reviewer	Recommendation
1	10001105	Gertner	Affirm

### IRF Pending Claims Eligibility Notice of Appeal – Insufficient Proof of Aggressive Deployment

The Claimant did not offer evidence meeting aggressive deployment compensability criteria. Specifically, the Claimant did not offer evidence of a delayed-deployment of a dual-stage Inflator, evidence of over-pressurization, or evidence of enhanced injury.

No.	Claim ID	Reviewer	Recommendation
1	10001462	Gertner	Affirm

## IRF Pending Claims Eligibility Notice of Appeal – Insufficient Proof of Rupture

The Special Master did not identify sufficient evidence in the Claim File to show rupture (e.g., ejection of metal fragments or shrapnel).

No.	Claim ID	Reviewer	Recommendation
1	10001214	Rosen	Affirm
2	10001222	Gertner	Affirm

## IRF Pending Claims Notice of Appeals - Valuations

#### **Recommendation to Reconsider**

No.	Claim ID	Special Master's Point Award	Reviewer	Recommendation	Special Master Decision	Special Master Reasoning
						Per the recommendation of the
				Reviewer would increase the point award by 200 points because the closest comparable with similar injuries was 30 years older but received the same point	Dony	Reviewer, the Special Master re- evaluated the evidence provided by the Claimant and found the initial valuation properly accounted for the severity of the injury and the applicable
1	291	1,000	Rosen	value.	Deny Recommendation	adjustment criteria.

#### EXHIBIT D

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff	) ) ) Case No. 16-CR-20810-04
V.	) ) Honorable George Caram Steeh \
TAKATA CORPORATION, Defendant.	) ) )

#### MINUTES OF JULY 25, 2019 CONFERENCE WITH SPECIAL MASTER

On July 25, 2019, Special Master Eric D. Green conferred with the Court to discuss the substantial progress made in evaluating Current Claims. The Special Master reported that he and his team of professionals have nearly completed the Current Claims evaluation process, including the initial evaluation of each Current Claim, provision of notice of initial determinations and the opportunity to appeal, the re-examination of claims on appeal by the Review Officers, and the Special Master's consideration of the recommendations of the Review Officers, all in accordance with the revised IRF Methodology approved by the Court on March 21, 2018 (Doc. 78). The Court and the Special Master then discussed the process for obtaining court approval of Current Claim dispositions and the final dollar value of a point. After conferring with the Special Master, the Court considered and approved the following procedure and timeline:

- 1. In early August, 2019, the Special Master intends to file a motion with the Court seeking approval of all Current Claim dispositions, the dollar value of a point, and the form of release 1 to be executed by the claimant and submitted to the Special Master in order for the claimant to receive his or her allocated distribution (the "Motion"). The Motion will include a list of the awards to be given by claim number and claimant name; provided, however, that the claimant name shall be redacted to preserve confidentiality.
- 2. After filing the Motion, the Special Master will notify Current Claimants of their point award and the monetary value of the award (if any), which is subject to court-approval. Current Claimants also will be notified that they may object to the Motion by submitting a written response to the Special Master on or before August 30, 2019.
- 3. Shortly following the objection deadline, the Special Master will confer with Judge Steeh to review the Current Claim dispositions and any submitted objections.
- 4. Following that meeting, the Special Master will request that the Court enter an order approving the Motion as initially submitted or

The Court previously approved conditioning payment on submitting a release and the content of the release as part of the IRF Methodology.

amended by the Special Master. Following approval by the Court, the Special Master shall commence the distribution process to eligible Claimants.

Dated: July 29, 2019

s/George Caram Steeh
GEORGE CARAM STEEH
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Copies of this Order were served upon attorneys of record on July 29, 2019, by electronic and/or ordinary mail.

s/Marcia Beauchemin Deputy Clerk

#### **EXHIBIT E**

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff	) ) Case No. 16-CR-20810-04
v.	) Honorable George Caram Steeh
TAKATA CORPORATION, Defendant.	) ) )

# [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING SPECIAL MASTER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF EIGHTEENTH DISTRIBUTION OF INDIVIDUAL RESTITUTION FUND

Upon the request of Eric D. Green in his capacity as Special Master for approval of the eighteenth distribution of the Individual Restitution Fund:<sup>1</sup>

#### IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:

- 1. The Court [APPROVES] the Special Master's determinations and recommendations regarding the Claimants listed in Exhibit A to the Distribution Request. The Special Master shall distribute the amount of \$1,993,865.00 to the Claimants listed on Exhibit A.
- 2. All objections submitted in connection with this Request are [OVERRULED].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Capitalized terms used but not defined herein shall have the meanings set forth in the *Special Master's Request for Approval of First Distribution of Individual Restitution Fund* (the "<u>Distribution Request</u>").

3. The Court [APPROVES] the Special Master's determination that the

claims of the Claimants set forth in Exhibit B are ineligible for compensation from

the Individual Restitution Fund.

4. The Court [APPROVES] conditioning payment from the IRF to

individuals represented by counsel on execution of a rider by counsel acknowledging

and agreeing to abide by the restriction on attorney's fees set forth in the IRF

Methodology Order.

5. The Court [DIRECTS] that Distributions shall be made in accordance

with the procedures set forth in the Revised IRF Methodology.

6. This Court retains jurisdiction over all matters covered by, or related

to, this Order.

So ordered.

Dated: , 2022

GEORGE CARAM STEEH UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2